Monitoring & Compliance Panel

Overview of M&C Panel, "Charting Our Course" Roadmap Strategy and Peacemaker Training Initiative

> Presentation to 2010 Visions Conference October 13, 2010

Visions 2010 Conference - Presentation Outline

M&C Panel Overview

- Purpose/Scope/Panel Composition
- Panel Projects/Initiatives

Monitoring

- Purpose of a Catch Monitoring Strategy
- Drivers for a Catch Monitoring Strategy
- Review of "Charting Our Course" Roadmap Strategy
 - Goals , Principles & Objectives
 - Overview of Categorizing of Fisheries
 - Approach to Achieving Desired Improvements
- Review of "Charting Our Course" Roadmap Strategy

Compliance

- Objectives/
- Peacemakers Training Initiative

Next Steps

M&C Panel Overview

Purpose

- The Panel aspires to promote the fair, practical, and effective monitoring and compliance practices and policies critical to realize the level of collaborative management required to sustain salmon and a salmon fishery
- Success will be realized when all sectors can "tell each other's stories" about each other's standards and practices, rather than disputing each other's numbers

Scope

- The Panel operates on a provincial level;
- The Panel works with and supports local groups;
- The Panel works co-operatively with other institutions, groups and processes to share work and avoid duplication
- The Panel operates from 2009-2012 with a sunset provision

M&C Panel Overview

Panel Composition

The current Panel Members and Alternates identified for each sector include:

Sector	Member	Alternate
NGO	Craig Orr	Jeffery Young
First Nations	Mark Duiven	Les Sam
	Ken Malloway	Ernie Crey
Commercial	Peter Sakich	Ryan McEachern/Chris Ashton
Recreational	Christopher Bos	Frank Kwak
Federal	Colin Masson	Gary Miller/Herb Redekopp
Provincial	Wayne Saito	Bud Graham
Public Panel Member	Potential public figures have been identified that will be approached at a later date once the M&C Panel has been fully established	

The roster of Panel Chairs to date is as follows:

Member	Term
Craig Orr	April 2009 – November 2009
Ken Malloway	December 2009 – March 2010
Peter Sakich	April 2010 - March 2011

M&C Panel Overview

- Panel Projects/Initiatives
 - Project 1 Identifying Best Practices
 Identify and communicate fisheries monitoring and catch reporting principles, standards and practices that are working
 - Project 2 Communications, Education and Awareness
 About telling each other's stories and recognizing best practices and high levels of compliance
 - Project 3 Collaborative Management and Governance
 Demonstrate a new ways of working collaboratively together,
 and share lessons learned with others
 - Project 4 Achieving High Levels of Compliance
 Encourage the use of community based justice and peacemaker processes to build capacity and skills to resolve conflict at the "front lines"

Purpose of a Catch Monitoring Strategy

- Clarify catch monitoring objectives
 - Set and communicate common objectives to all users
- Support development of a fair and transparent approach
 - Assure harvesters that monitoring standards are based on a common set of principles and the needs of their specific fishery
- Organize Panel work and communicate
 - Establish a "roadmap" for this complex initiative, and to bring the parts together to communicate
- Set targets
 - Put in place milestones to measure progress and ensure we are moving towards the goals
- Seek buy-in
 - Encourage participation and compliance from all resource users
- Support management
 - Facilitate priority setting on the many strategy activities

Drivers for a Catch Monitoring Strategy

The strategy should incorporate all activities associated with <u>fisheries monitoring and catch reporting</u>, and is driven by:

- Conservation and Sustainable Management
- Ecosystem Based Management
 - E.g. by-catch/encounters/ habitat impacts/predator/prey interactions
- Economic Prosperity and Social Benefits
 - E.g., Defined shares/Selective-mark fisheries
 - Certification of Fisheries (MSC/ EU requirements)
- Collaborative Management
 - Mutual harvest sector confidence
- Obligations under Treaties
 - E.g., PST/First Nations
 - Evolving Aboriginal rights (Courts)

"Charting Our Course" Roadmap Strategy

Fishery Monitoring in the Pacific Region — Charting our Course

A Strategy for Improved Confidence and Support

DRAFT Report for Discussion - April 2010

Issued by: Integrated Salmon Dialogue Forum, The Panel to Review Fishery Monitoring and Compliance

The M&C Panel is a project initiated by the BC Integrated Salmon Dialogue Forum with funding support from the Fraser Salmon & Watersheds Program and Fisheries and Oceans Canada.



- Catch monitoring and compliance have consistently arisen as topics of importance in various ongoing discussions
- Report provides a common understanding of the need for and characteristics of monitoring practices
- Aims to inform/challenge the reader to understand and contribute to developing innovative ways to address current challenges through effective and efficient monitoring standards and practices

"Charting Our Course" Roadmap Strategy

Goal: "To have accessible, accurate, and timely fisheries information, such that there is required information and public confidence for fisheries to be managed sustainably and to meet other reporting obligations and objectives"



- Principle 1. Information necessary to sustain and conserve fisheries resources and their habitat is the first priority.
- **Principle 2. Utilize Consistent Monitoring Standards**
- Principle 3. Accessible, Accurate and Timely Fisheries Data
- Principle 4. Harvesters are individually and collectively responsible for providing FM&CR information.

"Charting Our Course" Roadmap Strategy

Criteria for Categorizing Fisheries Monitoring

- Recognition that not all fisheries require the same level of monitoring
- A consistent approach and criteria are required to determine what level of monitoring is required in any fishery
- Criteria include: Conservation Risk, Fishery Operations, Catch, Ecosystem/Habitat Impacts and Statistical Quality

3 Levels of Monitoring Based on Criteria

Low

 Where Conservation risk is low and no known conservation impacts anticipated, a lower level of monitoring is appropriate (Low)

Moderate

 All fisheries start at <u>Moderate</u> level of monitoring

Move to LOW or ENHANCED based on conservation risks / fishery characteristics

Enhanced

 Where conservation risk is higher or the fishery more complex, a higher level of monitoring is required (Enhanced)

"Charting Our Course" Roadmap Strategy

Approach to Achieving Desired Improvements

- Use consistent criteria to determine monitoring requirements and to plan and implement improved monitoring in all fisheries
- Identify and implement cost-effective monitoring programs
 - Share best management practices
 - Consider alternate harvesting and management strategies
 - Take advantage of technological advances.
- Implement standardized data format and effective information management systems
 - Enables data integration and timely access to data and fisheries information
- Clarify and document departmental and harvester responsibilities within a formal monitoring plan

Compliance

Initiatives Purpose/Objectives

- Encourage the use of restorative justice processes by affected communities and organizations to handle violations of fisheries laws and regulations to achieve high levels of voluntary compliance
- Develop active collaborative partnerships between compliance agencies and affected communities, and develop widespread community and public awareness and confidence in the fairness and effectiveness of compliance policies and practices

Peacemaker/Capacity Building Training Initiative

- Objective is to build capacity and skills in all sectors through training and collaborative action to resolve conflicts informally and quickly at the "front lines"
- M&C Panel working collaboratively with Sport Fishing-FN Working Group and FRST as part of overall ISDF capacity building initiative to support participant driven collaborative processes (e.g., Oct 19th Peacemakers Training Session in Chilliwack)

M&C Panel - Next Steps

- Conduct engagement with all sectors to obtain feedback and comments on Charting Our Course Report and input re: best practices and incentives for improving monitoring and compliance
- Present Charting Our Course Report to multi-sector processes throughout consultation schedule to increase awareness/get feedback
- November 17, 2010 2–7PM A Follow-up workshop from August 13 Lower Fraser River Monitoring and Compliance Field Trip (hosted by Sport Fishing-First Nations WG)
- Work collaboratively with DFO re: ongoing policy development and efforts to improve upon FMCR in the Pacific Region

Compliance → Peacemaker Training

- October 19, 2010 2-6PM → Peacemakers Introductory Training Session in Chilliwack (hosted by Sport Fishing-First Nations WG working in collaboration with FRST/ISDF/M&C Panel)
- Nov 22-23 2-Day Training Session (Lower Fraser location TBD) to deliver foundation level training for Peacemaker conflict resolution

Pilot Projects

 Implementation of M&C Panel Initiatives/Pilot Projects throughout the Pacific Region, working collaboratively with and building on work by local organizations /processes/initiatives

Q&A



For more information or copies of materials, please contact your M&C Panel sector representatives